

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20281 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,746	(06/28/2001	Hiroshi Yamauchi	2001_0929A	3641	
513	7590	09/30/2002				
		ID & PONACK,	EXAMINER			
2033 K STI SUITE 800		'.	VIGUSHIN, JOHN B			
WASHING	TON, DC	20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2827	0	
				DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/ 4		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	(My					
		09/892,746	5	YAMAUCHI ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		John B. Vig	ushin	2827						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
\ <u>\</u> _	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 28	8 June 2001 .								
-	•	This action is r	on-final.							
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.										
7) <u></u> Cla	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
,	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
_	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
14)∏ Ackı	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						

æ

Application/Control Number: 09/892,746

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claim 5, drawn to a method for mounting an aggregate of electronic components, classified in class 29, subclass 832.
 - II. Claims 1-4 and 6-12, drawn to an aggregate of electronic components, classified in class 361, subclass 765.
 - III. Claims 13-18, drawn to a mobile device, classified in class 361, subclass 767.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product having no reversing step.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process having no reversing step.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,746

Art Unit: 2827

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship, respectively. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product (Group II) is deemed to be useful as a functional circuit substrate that is not mounted on another circuit substrate (Group III) and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,746

Art Unit: 2827

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 9. If the Applicant elects either Group II or Group III, the Examiner will examine both Groups II and III.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Vigushin whose telephone number is 703-308-1205. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM Mo-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7382 for regular communications and 703-308-7382 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

John B. Vigushin

Examiner Art Unit 2827

jbv

September 28, 2002